

Geyserville Elementary School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2017-18 School Year

Published During 2018-19

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2018-19)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Geyserville Elementary School
Street	21485 Geyserville Ave.
City, State, Zip	Geyserville, CA 95441
Phone Number	(707) 857-3410
Principal	Denise McCullough
E-mail Address	dmccullough@gusd.com
Web Site	www.gusd.com
CDS Code	49707066051734

District Contact Information	
District Name	Geyserville Unified School District
Phone Number	(707) 857-3592
Superintendent	Deborah Bertolucci
E-mail Address	dbert@gusd.com
Web Site	www.gusd.com

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2018-19)

Geyserville Elementary School is one of three schools, but the only Elementary School that makes up our District. We are in an unincorporated rural area and we are closely tied to the agricultural industry. The teaching staff consists of six classroom teachers and a part time resource teacher. We have four instructional aides who support our differentiated instructional program. Our multimedia specialist provides library services and technology support to students and faculty. We have a part-time counselor, a psychologist and a part-time nurse. The Geyserville Educational Foundation and Parent Club are an active body of parent volunteers who through fund raising activities and grant opportunities, fund music, art, science, Zumba and garden enrichment for all students. They also provide financial support to classrooms for special projects, programs, field trips, and technology upgrades district wide. Geyserville Elementary School is part of an active district bilingual advisory council, which gives input in regards to our English Language program and District EL Master Plan. Parents serve as volunteers in classrooms, special events, field trips, and provide general assistance as needed. In addition, Geyserville Elementary School has an after-school program operated by the Boy's and Girl's Club.

Mission statement:

Geyserville Elementary School provides a small learning environment where:

*Our students are thriving while engaged in multi-faceted learning.

*Our school community shares a commitment to educating the whole child.

Our school family collaborates to create a positive environment.

Geyserville Elementary School is dedicated to : Inspiring a growth mindset as we STEAM into the future.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2017-18)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	22
Grade 1	13
Grade 2	18
Grade 3	18
Grade 4	16
Grade 5	19
Total Enrollment	106

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0
Asian	0.9
Filipino	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	59.4
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
White	39.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	55.7
English Learners	34.9
Students with Disabilities	6.6
Foster Youth	0.0

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2018-19
With Full Credential	8	8	8	21
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2018

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	McGraw Hill- 2014 Sufficient	Yes	0.0

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Mathematics	McGraw Hill- 2014 Sufficient	Yes	0.0
Science	Harcourt- Sufficient	Yes	0.0
History-Social Science	Harcourt/ Glencoe - Sufficient	Yes	0.0
Foreign Language	Hampton Brown - Sufficient	Yes	0.0
Health	Sufficient	Yes	0.0
Visual and Performing Arts	Sufficient	Yes	0.0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The Geyserville Elementary School has 8 classrooms, a Library, Computer Lab, Cafeteria, Boys & Girls Club, Staff/Copy Room, Office, and 2 resource rooms. All facilities are in Good repair.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 01/15/19		
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Fair	Dry rot found on the exterior of 3 rooms.
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 01/15/19	
Overall Rating	Good

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	55.0	55.0	48.0	47.0	48.0	50.0
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	47.0	49.0	30.0	33.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	55	55	100.00	54.55
Male	29	29	100.00	55.17
Female	26	26	100.00	53.85
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	38	38	100.00	47.37
White	16	16	100.00	75.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	40	40	100.00	50.00
English Learners	30	30	100.00	40.00
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	55	55	100	49.09
Male	29	29	100	51.72
Female	26	26	100	46.15
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	38	38	100	36.84
White	16	16	100	75
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	40	40	100	42.5
English Learners	30	30	100	40
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten**

Subject	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard					
	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

We love having parents and community members support our students in their learning and success. The district offers many opportunities for parent involvement. Parents are encourage to participate in one or more of the following groups: School Site Council, Boosters Club, Geyserville Educational Foundation, and DELAC (District English Learner Advisory Committee). In addition, support from the Geyserville Kiwanis, Geyserville Chamber of Commerce and Geyserville Oddfellows impacts our students in extraordinary ways.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions	3.8	0.8	2.7	3.1	1.2	7.2	3.7	3.7	3.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2018-19)

School safety plans are reviewed and discussed annually. The comprehensive school plan includes an evacuation and drill manual, which is reviewed annually by all staff. Inspections by the local fire chief takes place twice a year to address code compliance and assure that the facilities are safe for students, staff and others. Emergency kits have been supplied for each classroom and office area. Evacuation and fire drills are held at a minimum of one per quarter.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2015-16				2016-17				2017-18			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	11	2			11	2			11	2		
1	21		1		18	1			13	1		
2	19	1			19	2			18	2		
3	23		1		19	1			18	1		
4	17	1			22		1		16	1		
5	21		1		21		1		19	1		

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

** "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2017-18)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0.0	0.0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.4	N/A
Psychologist	0	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0.1	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0.5	N/A
Other	0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	8612	1534	7078	\$62,156
District	N/A	N/A	3700	\$53,788
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	62.7	14.4
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,125	\$63,590
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-0.7	-2.3

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

The Geyserville Elementary School believes in the benefits of early intervention and as a small school is able to focus on individual student needs.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$45,613	\$42,990
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$70,706	\$61,614
Highest Teacher Salary	\$77,150	\$85,083
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$96,440	\$100,802
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$0	\$105,404
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$0	\$106,243
Superintendent Salary	\$120,000	\$132,653
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	31.0	30.0
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	8.0	6.0

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

2016-17 Three additional Professional Development days were added to the calendar at the beginning of the school year to focus on aligning the report cards to the Common Core standards and implementing the new ELD curriculum.

2017-18 Three Professional Development days were included in the calendar (2 at the beginning of the school year and 1 mid-year) to focus on Common Core standards and implementing the new ELD curriculum.

2018-19 Three Professional Development days were included in the calendar (2 at the beginning of the school year and 1 mid-year).

GES staff reviewed student achievement data to determine priority areas of need for school improvement. Staff came to consensus to focus on Mathematics and Social Emotional Learning as priorities.

Josh Deis, math educator from SCOE, trained staff 3 times, focusing on 21st century math instructional strategies. The principal facilitated ongoing follow-up, reviewing data and providing professional reading opportunities during weekly common planning meetings. Teachers participated in peer visits for teachers to observe each other, give and receive feedback from their colleagues.

Suzanne Tribbey, from SELPA, trained staff twice, focusing on instructional strategies for positive behavioral prevention and intervention. As a team, principal and staff created a system of tiered interventions for students. Teachers chose quality indicators of social emotional instruction to focus and improve on, and participated in peer visits to support implementation. A Tier 2 team was formed to further support implementation of strategies and ongoing student data monitoring.