Buena Vista High School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year Published During 2016-17

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

School Contact Information				
School Name	Buena Vista High School			
Street	1300 Moody Ln.			
City, State, Zip	Geyserville, Ca, 95441			
Phone Number	707-857-3592			
Principal	Deboarh Bertolucci			
E-mail Address	dbert@gusd.com			
Web Site	www.gusd.com			
CDS Code	49707064932109			

District Contact Information		
District Name	Geyserville Unified School District	
Phone Number	(707) 857-3592	
Superintendent	Deborah Bertolucci	
E-mail Address	dbert@gusd.com	
Web Site	www.gusd.com	

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

Buena Vista High School is an alternative/credit recovery program located on the GNTA campus. Students work through meaningful and effective instruction preparing them for positive life choices in the future. BVHS students will be knowledgeable and productive citizens who are prepared to meet the challenges of life.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade	Number of
Level	Students
Grade 12	4
Total Enrollment	4

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0
Asian	0
Filipino	0
Hispanic or Latino	100
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	0
Two or More Races	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100
English Learners	25
Students with Disabilities	0
Foster Youth	0

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

	School			District
Teachers	2014-15 2019 1 1 0 0	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	1	1	1	
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

Leasting of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects				
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
This School	0.0	100.0			
All Schools in District	80.4	19.6			
High-Poverty Schools in District	80.4	19.6			
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0.0	0.0			

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: 09/2016

Same as the Geyserville New Tech Academy

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts			0
Mathematics			0
Science			0
History-Social Science			0
Foreign Language			0

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Health			0
Visual and Performing Arts			0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)			0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

BVHS is evaluated as part of the GNTA campus.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 1/31/17						
	R	Repair Stat	us	Repair Needed and		
System Inspected	Good	Good Fair Poor		Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х					
Interior: Interior Surfaces		х		Carpet needs replaced.		
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	х					
Electrical: Electrical	х					
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	х					
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	х					
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	х					
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	х					

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 1/31/17						
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor		
		Х				

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the • University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
Subject	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts/Literacy						
Mathematics						

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

		Number o	f Students	Percent o	f Students
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

		Number o	f Students	Percent o	f Students
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

		Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)							
Subject		School		District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)									

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	# of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students Proficient or Advanced
All Students				

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2015-16)

Not applicable for this program.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2015-16)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	0
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0.0
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	0.0

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2015-16 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0
2014-15 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade	Perce	nt of Students Meeting Fitness Star	ndards					
Level Four of Six Standards Five of Six Standards Six of Six Stan								
Note: Percentages are not cal	culated when the number of students tested is	top or loss, gither because the number of stu	dents in this sategory is too small for					

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

There are many opportunities for parent involvement at Geyserville New Tech Academy.

Some of the organizations that meet on a monthly basis are: Boosters, Educational Foundation, District English Language Advisory Committee (DELAC), and Site Council. The local Kiwanis Club also has parent members who help with school programs and scholarships. Each year there is a DELAC Parent Visitation Day, as well as a 6th grade parent orientation, freshman parent orientation and Back to School Night in the fall and Open House in the Spring

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator		School			District			State	
Indicator	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Dropout Rate	0.00	6.70	0.00	0.00	6.70	0.00	11.40	11.50	10.70
Graduation Rate	95.00	93.33	100.00	95.00	93.33	100.00	80.44	80.95	82.27

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2015 (One-Year Rate)

Conum		Graduating Class of 2015	
Group	School	District	State
All Students	67	91	86
Black or African American	0	0	78
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	78
Asian	0	0	93
Filipino	0	0	93
Hispanic or Latino	0	100	83
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	85
White	67	89	91
Two or More Races	0	0	89
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100	100	66
English Learners	0	100	54
Students with Disabilities	100	94	78

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Data		School			District			State	
Rate	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	0.0	0.0	16.7	6.8	4.2	3.1	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

The Geyserville Unified School District Safety Plan is reviewed and discussed with staff annually.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		Not In Pl
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement*		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	0
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	.0

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

		201	3-14			2014-15				2015-16			
Subject Avg.		Numb	Number of Classrooms		Avg.	Avg. Number of Classrooms		Avg.	Numb	er of Clas	srooms		
Cla	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	
English	4	1			4	1			4	1			
Mathematics	4	1			4	1			4	1			
Science	4	1			4	1			4	1			
Social Science	4	1			4	1			4	1			

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0	N/A
Psychologist	0	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0	N/A
Resource Specialist	0	N/A
Other	0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	7983.33	0	7983.33	23950
District	N/A	N/A	7110	\$60,326
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	12.3	-60.3
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,677	\$60,705
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	40.6	-60.5

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Buena Vista High School is a credit recovery school.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,729	\$40,430
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$58,823	\$58,909
Highest Teacher Salary	\$72,273	\$77,358
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$77,912	\$94,634
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		\$97,839
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$79,713	\$100,453
Superintendent Salary	\$90,094	\$123,728
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	33%	32%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2015-16)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	N/A
English	0	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts	0	N/A
Foreign Language	0	N/A
Mathematics	0	N/A
Science	0	N/A
Social Science	0	N/A
All courses	0	

Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Same as Geyserville New Tech Academy