Geyserville Elementary

Data and Access

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the <u>CDE DataQuest Web page</u> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Additional Information

For further information regarding the data elements and terms used in the SARC see the 2012-13 Academic Performance Index Reports Information Guide located on the CDE API Web page

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2012-13)

School	
School Name	Geyserville Elementary
Street	21485Geyserville Ave.
City, State, Zip	Geyserville, Ca, 95441-0108
Phone Number	707-857-3410
Principal	Tonya Giusso, Principal
E-mail Address	tgiusso@gusd.com
County-District- School (CDS) Co	- 49707066051734 ode

District	
District Name	Geyserville Unified
Phone Number	(707) 857-3592
Web Site	http://www.gusd.cm
Superintendent First Name	Joseph
Superintendent Last Name	Carnation
E-mail Address	cmenicucci@gusd.com

Last updated: 1/23/2014

Geyserville Elementary School is one of three schools, but the only Elementary School that makes up our District. We are in an unincorporated rural area and we are closely tied to the agricultural industry.

The teaching staff consists of six classroom teachers, a part time reading specialist, a speech and language teacher and a resource teacher. We have a multimedia specialist who provides technology instruction as well as library services, a psychologist and a part-time nurse.

The Geyserville Educational Foundation and POSSE are an active body of parent volunteers who through fund raising activities provide financial support to classrooms for special projects, programs, field trips, and technology upgrades district wide.

Geyserville elementary school is part of an active district bilingual advisory council. Parents are involved with a school site council, serve as volunteers in classrooms, with special events, field trips, as tutors to students and provide general assistance as needed. In addition, Geyserville elementary school has an after-school program operated by the Boy's and Girl's Club.

The Geyserville Elementary School's mission states that all our students will develop the essential life skills of personal integrity, responsibility for self and compassion for others. Students are given the essential academic ingredients needed to be life long learners. Geyserville Elementary School is an academic community that practices academic rigor, celebrates the dignity of all people and encourages children to do their personal best..

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2012-13)

Last updated: 1/23/2014

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2012-13)

Parents are encouraged to be actively involved at GES. Participation in the school parent club, school district foundation and school site council are excellen opportunities for involvement.

Student Performance Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- •Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.


The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.


For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site.


Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-**Year Comparison**


	ı	Percent of Stu	ıdents Scoring	ı at Proficient	or Advanced	(meeting or ex	cceeding the s	tate standard	s)
		School			District			State	
Subject	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	55%	57%	39%	55%	59%	56%	54%	56%	55%
Mathematics	68%	65%	55%	49%	48%	41%	49%	50%	50%
Science	50%	79%	27%	59%	85%	58%	57%	60%	59%
History-Social Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	27%	30%	34%	48%	49%	49%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.









Last updated: 1/23/2014

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced						
Group	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science			
All Students in the LEA	56%	41%	58%	34%			
All Students at the School	39%	55%	27%	N/A			
Male	41%	61%	N/A	N/A			
Female	36%	47%	N/A	N/A			
Black or African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Filipino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Hispanic or Latino	25%	43%	18%	N/A			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
White	70%	83%	N/A	N/A			
Two or More Races	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	30%	44%	N/A	N/A			
English Learners	19%	40%	N/A	N/A			
Students with Disabilities	9%	55%	N/A	N/A			
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.


Last updated: 1/23/2014


California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten **Students – Three-Year Comparison**

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB. For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/.

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
		School			District			State	
Subject	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A	N/A	52%	40%	54%	59%	56%	57%
Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	32%	54%	42%	56%	58%	60%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.





Last updated: 1/23/2014

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by **Student Group**

	Englis	h-Language Ar	ts	ı	Nathematics	
Group	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	46%	23%	31%	58%	38%	4%
All Students at the School	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Filipino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students with Disabilities	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/23/2014

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2012-13)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT Web page.

	Perc	ent of Students Meeting Fitness Star	ndards
Grade level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Accountability Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API Web page.

Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Statewide	6	6	7
Similar Schools			

Last updated: 1/23/2014

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change 2010-11	Actual API Change 2011-12	Actual API Change 2012-13
All Students at the School	-1	34	-75
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Last updated: 1/23/2014

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2012-13 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	Number of Students	School	Number of Students	LEA	Number of Students	State
All Students at the School	76	778	196	784	4,655,989	790
Black or African American	0		0		296,463	708
American Indian or Alaska Native	0		0		30,394	743
Asian	1		2		406,527	906
Filipino	0		0		121,054	867
Hispanic or Latino	53	736	113	727	2,438,951	744
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0		2		25,351	774
White	20	897	71	881	1,200,127	853
Two or More Races	2		8		125,025	824
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	60	752	139	761	2,774,640	743
English Learners	43	718	91	704	1,482,316	721
Students with Disabilities	11	695	39	721	527,476	615

Last updated: 1/23/2014

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2012-13)

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- \bullet Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics• API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE AYP Web page.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A

Last updated: 1/23/2014

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status
Determinations Web page.


Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not in PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	0
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	0.0%

Note: Cells shaded in black or with N/A values do not require data.

School Climate

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2012-13)


Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	20
Grade 1	20
Grade 2	26
Grade 3	14
Grade 4	22
Grade 5	22
Total Enrollment	124



Last updated: 1/23/2014

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2012-13)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0
Asian	0.8
Filipino	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	65.3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
White	31.5
Two or More Races	2.4
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	72.6
English Learners	48.4
Students with Disabilities	11.3



Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

	2010-11			20	2011-12			2012-13				
		Numb	er of Clas	sses *		Numb	er of Clas	sses *		Numb	oer of Clas	sses *
Grade Level	Average Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
K	22.0	1	0	0	25.0	0	1	0	20.0	1		
1	24.0	0	1	0	28.0	0	1	0	11.0	2		
2	21.0	2	0	0	16.0	2	0	0	15.0	1	1	
3	22.0	2	0	0	18.0	1	0	0	8.0	2		
4					27.0	0	2	0	12.0	1	1	
5	31.0	0	1	0	14.0	1	0	0	14.0	1	1	
6												
Other												

^{*} Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Last updated: 1/23/2014

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

2010-11			2011-12			2012-13						
		Numb	er of Clas	ses *	* Number of Classes *		ses *	ı		Number of Classes *		
Subject	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English												
Mathematics												
Science												
Social Science												

^{*} Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information isreported by subject area rather than grade level.

Last updated: 1/23/2014


School Safety Plan (School Year 2012-13)


Safety is an ongoing concern at this school. Cameras are installed as another safety precaution

Suspensions and Expulsions

	School			District			
Rate *	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	
Suspensions	7.50	14.00	3.00	7.50	14.00	3.00	
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment.





Last updated: 1/23/2014

School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

All school systems were reported as in good condition. Roofing was repaired.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planne
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HV Sewer	'ACGood	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pes Vermin Infestation	st/ Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Mate	eria lS ood	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roof	s Good	
External: Playground/School Ground Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	ds, Good	


Overall Facility Rate (School Year 2012-13)

Overall Rating Good
Last updated: 1/23/2014


Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers		District		
	2010- 11	2011- 12	2012- 13	2012- 13
With Full Credential	5	8	8	8
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0



Indicator	2011- 12	2012- 13	2013- 14
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions		0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Last updated: 1/23/2014

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2012-13)

The federal ESEA, also known as NCLB, requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Su bjects t by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Sullipacts ught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	100	0
High-Poverty Schools in District	100	0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100	0

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Lowpoverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

^{*} Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2012-13)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School Ave	erage Number of Students per Academic Counse
Academic Counselor	0.0	0.0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development	0.1	N/A
Library Media Teacher (librarian)	0.1	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0.1	N/A
Psychologist	0.1	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse	0.1	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.2	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0.5	N/A
Other	0.3	N/A

Note: Cells shaded in black or with N/A values do not require data.

^{*} One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials. Year and month in which data were collected: September 2012

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and instructional materials	From most recent adoption?	Percent students lacking own assigne copy
Reading/Language Arts	Sufficient	No	0.0
Mathematics	Sufficient	No	0.0
Science	Sufficient	No	0.0
History-Social Science	Sufficient	No	0.0
Foreign Language	Sfficient	No	0.0
Health	Sufficient	No	0.0
Visual and Performing Arts	Sufficient	No	0.0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	Sufficient	No	0.0

Last updated: 1/23/2014

School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	ExpenditurePer Pupi(Supplemental / Restricted)	ExpenditureBer Pupi(Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teache Salary
School Site	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$61,419
District	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$60,592
Percent Difference – School Site and District	e N/A	N/A	N/A	61419.00%
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,537	\$58,606
Percent Difference – School Site and State	e N/A	N/A	N/A	61419.00%

Note: Cells shaded in black or with N/A values do not require data.

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. Basic/unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE <u>Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page</u>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE <u>Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page</u>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the <u>Ed-Data Web site</u>.

Last updated: 1/23/2014

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

NA

Last updated: 1/23/2014

School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information Web page.

California State University


Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU Web page.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

	School		District			State			
Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Dropout Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.6	14.7	13.1
Graduation Rate	0	0	0	100	100	100	74.72	77.14	78.73



Last updated: 1/23/2014

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2012-13)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
	. a. c.c.pacion

2012-1**\$**ARC - Geyserville Elementary

Number of pupils participating in CTE	Ő
Percent of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0.0
Percent of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	on 0.0

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2012-13)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	N/A
English	0	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts	0	N/A
Foreign Language	0	N/A
Mathematics	0	N/A
Science	0	N/A
Social Science	0	N/A
All Courses	0	0.0

Note: Cells shaded in black or with N/A values do not require data.

*Where there are student course enrollments.

Instructional Planning and Scheduling