

Executive Summary School Accountability Report Card, 2011–12

For Geyserville Educational Park High

Address:	1300 Moody Ln., Geyserville, CA, 95441	Phone:	(707) 857-3592
Principal:	Katherine Hadden, Principal	Grade Span:	9-12

This executive summary of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) is intended to provide parents and community members with a quick snapshot of information related to individual public schools. Most data presented in this report are reported for the 2011–12 school year. School finances and school completion data are reported for the 2010–11 school year. Contact information, facilities, curriculum and instructional materials, and select teacher data are reported for the 2012–13 school year. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should review the entire SARC or contact the school principal or the district office.

About This School

The mission of Geyserville Educational Park is to produce, within a safe and caring environment, educated, compassionate citizens who achieve and perform at all levels of learning, are prepared to live fulfilling lives, and contribute to their community and the world in which they live. Our well-qualified and caring faculty and staff challenge each student to his or her highest potential in this lifelong pursuit of learning, excellence and service to others..

Student Enrollment

Group	Enrollment
Number of students	70
Black or African American	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%
Asian	0.0%
Filipino	0.0%
Hispanic or Latino	60.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%
White	38.6%
Two or More Races	1.4%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	64.3%
English Learners	47.1%
Students with Disabilities	21.4%

Teachers

Indicator	Teachers
-----------	----------

Teachers without full credential	0
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	2
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	2

Student Performance

Subject	Students Proficient and Above on STAR* Program Results
English-Language Arts	58%
Mathematics	13%
Science	0%
History-Social Science	22%

*Standardized Testing and Reporting Program assessments used for accountability purposes include the California Standards Tests, the California Modified Assessment, and the California Alternate Performance Assessment.

Academic Progress*

Indicator	Result
2012 Growth API Score (from 2012 Growth API Report)	737
Statewide Rank (from 2011 Base API Report)	5
Met All 2012 AYP Requirements	no
Number of AYP Criteria Met Out of the Total Number of Criteria Possible	Met 4 of 5
2012–13 Program Improvement Status (PI Year)	

*The Academic Performance Index is required under state law. Adequate Yearly Progress is required by federal law.

School Facilities

Summary of Most Recent Site Inspection

Geyserville Educational Park has recently undergone a modernization program. All facilities are in good to excellent condition.

Repairs Needed

Repair or replacement of three backflow valves

Corrective Actions Taken or Planned

Backflow valves have been repaired.

Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Core Curriculum Area	Pupils Who Lack Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	0
Mathematics	0
Science	0
History-Social Science	0
Foreign Language	0
Health	0
Visual and Performing Arts	0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	0

School Finances

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted Sources Only)
School Site	\$5,606
District	\$5,606
State	\$5,455

School Completion

Indicator	Result
Graduation Rate (if applicable)	50.00

Postsecondary Preparation

Measure	Percent
Pupils Who Completed a Career Technical Education Program and Earned a High School Diploma	0
Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for University of California or California State University Admission	2

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2011–12 School Year

Published During 2012–13

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

Ed-Data Partnership Web Site

Ed-Data is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2012–13)

School		District	
School Name	Geyserville Educational Park High	District Name	Geyserville Unified
Street	1300 Moody Ln.	Phone Number	(707) 857-3592
City, State, Zip	Geyserville, CA, 95441	Web Site	www.gusd.com
Phone Number	(707) 857-3592	Superintendent	Joseph Carnation
Principal	Katherine Hadden, Principal	E-mail Address	jcarnation@gusd.com
E-mail Address	kathyh@gusd.com	CDS Code	49707064932109

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2011–12)

The mission of Geyserville Educational Park is to produce, within a safe and caring environment, educated, compassionate citizens who achieve and perform at all levels of learning, are prepared to live fulfilling lives, and contribute to their community and the world in which they live. Our well-qualified and caring faculty and staff challenge each student to his or her highest potential in this lifelong pursuit of learning, excellence and service to others..

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2011–12)

There are many opportunities for parent involvement at Geyserville Educational Park. Some of the organizations that meet on a monthly basis are: Boosters, Educational Foundation, Bilingual Advisory Committee (BAC), and Site Council. The local Kiwanis Club also has parent members who help with school programs and scholarships. Each year there is a BAC Parent Visitation Day, as well as a 6th grade parent orientation, freshman parent orientation and Back to School Night in the fall and Open House in the Spring

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2011–12)

Grade Level	Number of Students	Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	0	Grade 8	0
Grade 1	0	Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 2	0	Grade 9	24
Grade 3	0	Grade 10	14
Grade 4	0	Grade 11	20
Grade 5	0	Grade 12	12
Grade 6	0	Ungraded Secondary	0
Grade 7	0	Total Enrollment	70

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2011-12)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%

Filipino	0.0%
Hispanic or Latino	60.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%
White	38.6%
Two or More Races	1.4%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	64.3%
English Learners	47.1%
Students with Disabilities	21.4%

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	Avg. Class Size	2009–10 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2010–11 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2011–12 Number of Classes*		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English					11.75	4	0	0	6.5	13	0	0
Mathematics					13.25	4	0	0	9.2	6	0	0
Science					20	3	1	0	13.0	3	1	0
Social Science					17	4	1	0	15.8	5	0	0

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2011–12)

Geyserville Educational Park provides a quality educational experience for all students in an exceptionally positive and safe learning environment. Students take part in keeping the grounds litter free and participate in school clean-up days. The comprehensive school plan includes an evacuation and drill manual, which is reviewed annually by all staff.

Inspections by the local fire chief takes place twice a year to address code compliance and assure that the facilities are safe for students, staff and others. Emergency kits have been supplied for each classroom and office area. Evacuation and fire drills are held at a minimum of one per quarter.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate*	School 2009–10	School 2010–11	School 2011–12	District 2009–10	District 2010–11	District 2011–12
Suspensions	4.11	19.35	17	4.11	19.35	17
Expulsions	0	0	0	0	0	0

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012–13)

Narrative provided by the LEA.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012–13)

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer		X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces		X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation		X			
Electrical: Electrical		X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains		X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials		X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs		X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences		X			
Overall Rating	LEA Provided	X			

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School 2009–10	School 2010–11	School 2011–12	District 2011–12
With Full Credential	8	8	8	8
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	2	2	2	2

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2010–11	2011–12	2012–13
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* *Total Teacher Misassignments* includes the number of *Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners*.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2011–12)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor’s degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* Web page at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	100	0
High-Poverty Schools in District		
Low-Poverty Schools in District		

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2011–12)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		
Library Media Teacher (librarian)		
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)		
Psychologist	.1	
Social Worker		
Nurse	.1	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.2	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1	
Other	.5	

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012–13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instructional materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: _____

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and instructional materials/year of adoption	From most recent adoption?	Percent students lacking own assigned copy
Reading/Language Arts	Sufficient	No	0
Mathematics	Sufficient	No	0
Science	Sufficient	No	0
History-Social Science	Sufficient	No	0
Foreign Language	Sufficient	No	0
Health	Sufficient	No	0
Visual and Performing Arts	Sufficient	No	0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	Sufficient	No	0

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010–11)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$5,606		\$5,606	\$57,670
District				\$57,670
Percent Difference – School Site and District				
State			\$5,455	\$57,948
Percent Difference – School Site and State				

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. **Basic/unrestricted** expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the *CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011–12)

Narrative provided by the LEA.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010–11)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	40,472	\$38,099
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	55,716	\$55,582
Highest Teacher Salary	68,476	\$71,884
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$40,011	\$88,790
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		\$93,519
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$81,715	\$95,671
Superintendent Salary	\$71,142	\$115,401
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	38.00%	34.00%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.30%	7.00%

Note: For detailed information on salaries, see the *CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12
English-Language Arts	56%	49%	58%	56%	55%	59%	52%	54%	56%
Mathematics	9%	20%	13%	41%	48%	48%	48%	50%	51%
Science	0%	67%	0%	75%	59%	85%	54%	57%	60%
History-Social Science	36%	28%	22%	29%	27%	30%	44%	48%	49%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History- Social Science
All Students in the LEA	59%	48%	85%	30%
All Students at the School	58%	13%	0%	22%
Male	72%	10%	0%	38%
Female	47%	16%	0%	11%
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	42%	7%	0%	24%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	89%	24%	0%	18%
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	51%	14%	0%	32%

English Language Arts	64%	31%	0%	0%
Students with Disabilities	64%	31%	0%	0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0%	0%	0%	0%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB.

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at <http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/>.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students – Three-Year Comparison (if applicable)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12
English-Language Arts	53%	48%	40%	47%	52%	40%	54%	59%	56%
Mathematics	50%	32%	54%	44%	32%	54%	54%	56%	58%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year (if applicable)

Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	60%	13%	27%	46%	31%	23%
All Students at the School	60%	13%	27%	46%	31%	23%
Male						
Female						
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						

Hispanic or Latino						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander						
White						
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged						
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2011–12)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school’s test results to the district and state, see the CDE *PFT* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tq/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
7	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
9	28.60%	28.60%	7.10%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE *API* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school’s statewide and similar schools’ API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched “similar schools.” A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school’s academic performance

similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2009	2010	2011
Statewide	5	5	5
Similar Schools			

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change 2009–10	Actual API Change 2010–11	Actual API Change 2011–12
All Students at the School	3	13	-1
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2012 Growth API					
	Number of Students	School	Number of Students	LEA	Number of Students	State
All Students at the School	51	737	187	807	4,664,264	788
Black or African American	0		1		313,201	710
American Indian or Alaska Native	0		0		31,606	742
Asian	0		2		404,670	905
Filipino	0		0		124,824	869
Hispanic or Latino	32	663	110	740	2,425,230	740

Pacific Islander	0		2		26,563	775
White	19	832	72	891	1,221,860	853
Two or More Races	0		0		88,428	849
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	33	691	124	770	2,779,680	737
English Learners	24	602	85	717	1,530,297	716
Students with Disabilities	15	688	36	731	530,935	607

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE *AYP Web page* at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2011–12)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met API Criteria	No	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012–13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE *PI Status Determinations Web page*: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		

Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		0
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		0.0%

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the *UC Admissions Information* Web page at <http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/>. (Outside source)

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the *CSU Web page* at <http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml>. (Outside source)

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11
Dropout Rate		5.6	25.0		5.1	20.0		16.6	14.4
Graduation Rate		52.78	50.00		53.85	60.00		74.72	76.26

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the percent of students who began the 2011–12 school year in grade twelve and were a part of the school's most recent graduating class, meeting all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Group	Graduating Class of 2012		
	School	District	State
All Students	100	100	N/D
Black or African American			N/D
American Indian or Alaska Native			N/D
Asian			N/D
Filipino			N/D
Hispanic or Latino			N/D
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			N/D
White			N/D
Two or More Races			N/D
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			N/D
English Learners			N/D
Students with Disabilities			N/D

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2011–12)

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2011–12)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	0
Percent of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	
Percent of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2011-12 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	38.4%
2010-11 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	30%

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2011–12)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	
English	1	
Fine and Performing Arts	0	
Foreign Language	0	

Mathematics	0	
Science	0	
Social Science	0	
All courses	2	2.8%

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data. *Where there are student course enrollments.

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Professional development reflects the annual goals in the School Improvement Plan, WASC report, AP Challenge Grant and Digital High School Plan. Staff is encouraged to attend conferences, workshops, and seminars to improve instruction within their discipline and share insights with staff. The staff has also been encouraged to use peer observation and support to improve instructional strategies.

**Geyserville Educational Park
High**

Geyserville Unified

**School Accountability Report Card, 2011-
2012**

Provided by the Ed-Data Partnership

For more information visit www.ed-data.org