# Geyserville New Tech Academy School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year Published During 2016-17

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

# **About This School**

#### Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

	(301001 TCd1 2010 17)		
School Contact Info	School Contact Information		
School Name	Geyserville New Tech Academy		
Street	1300 Moody Ln.		
City, State, Zip	Geyserville, Ca, 95441		
Phone Number	707-857-3592		
Principal	Deborah Bertolucci		
E-mail Address	dbert@gusd.com		
Web Site	www.gusd.com		
CDS Code	49707066103428		

District Contact Infor	District Contact Information		
District Name	Geyserville Unified School District		
Phone Number	(707) 857-3592		
Superintendent	Deborah Bertolucci		
E-mail Address	dbert@gusd.com		
Web Site	www.gusd.com		

# School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

Geyserville New Tech Academy's goal is to guide its students through a meaningful and challenging standards-based curriculum. As a result, each graduate will become a lifelong learner, capable of developing and planning a course of action; and have the perseverance and competency to succeed.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	15
Grade 7	21
Grade 8	11
Grade 9	15
Grade 10	19
Grade 11	17
Grade 12	18
Total Enrollment	116

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment		
Black or African American	0.9		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0		
Asian	1.7		
Filipino	0		
Hispanic or Latino	63.8		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0		
White	33.6		
Two or More Races	0		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	68.1		
English Learners	22.4		
Students with Disabilities	16.4		
Foster Youth	0.9		

# A. Conditions of Learning

# **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

# **Teacher Credentials**

		District		
Teachers	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	10	9	14	23
Without Full Credential	1	4	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	1	1	0	0

#### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

#### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

(a)	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects				
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
This School	79.0	21.1			
All Schools in District	80.4	19.6			
High-Poverty Schools in District	80.4	19.6			
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0.0	0.0			

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

# Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2016

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	McDougal Littel	No	0
Mathematics	6-7 Prentice Hall, 8th-Core Connections 3 CPM, Algebra I&II Holt, Discovering Geometry Key Curriculum Press, Pre-Calculus Houghton Mifflin	No	0
Science	6-8 Holt, Integrated Science Pearson, Modern Biology Holt, Conceptual Physics Prentice Hall, Merrill Chemistry Glencoe	No	0

<sup>\*</sup> Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption		Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
History-Social Science	Glencoe	No	0
Foreign Language	Buen Viaje, Vista Learning	No	0
Health	Gelncoe Teen Health Course 1,2,3	No	0
Visual and Performing Arts	NA		
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	Same as above		

# School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

All facilities are in good condition.

# School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 01/31/17							
Contains Improperted	R	Repair Stat	us	Repair Needed and			
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned			
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х						
Interior: Interior Surfaces		Х		Carpet needs replaced in BVHS. 3 interior rooms had ceiling leaks. Will have the HVAC resealed to see if that prevents the leaks.			
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х						
Electrical: Electrical	Х						
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Х						
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х						
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	х						
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х						

# **Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)**

overall rushing (most rushing (most rushing)							
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 01/31/17							
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor			
		Х					

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

# **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
Subject	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts/Literacy	30	22	40	37	44	48
Mathematics	11 9 22 27 34 36					

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# **CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group**

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

		Number o	f Students	Percent	of Students
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students 6		17	17	100.0	29.4
	7	22	22	100.0	22.7
	8	12	12	100.0	8.3
	11	18	17	94.4	23.5
Male	6				
	7	11	11	100.0	9.1
	8				
	11				
Female	6	11	11	100.0	36.4
	7	11	11	100.0	36.4
	8				
	11	11	10	90.9	40.0
Black or African American	7				
American Indian or Alaska Native	11				
Asian	7				
	11				
Hispanic or Latino	6	12	12	100.0	8.3
	7	13	13	100.0	15.4

		Number o	of Students	Percent	Percent of Students		
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded		
	8						
	11						
White	6						
	7						
	8						
	11						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	6	11	11	100.0	18.2		
	7	15	15	100.0	26.7		
	8						
	11	13	12	92.3	25.0		
English Learners	6						
	7						
	8						
	11						
Students with Disabilities	6						
	7						
	8						
	11		<b></b>				
Students Receiving Migrant	6						
Education Services	7						
	8						
Foster Youth	6						
	7						
	8						
	11						

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# **CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group**

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

Grades Three through Eight and Gra	,		f Students	Percent	Percent of Students		
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded		
All Students	6	17	17	100.0	17.6		
	7	22	22	100.0	9.1		
	8	12	12	100.0			
	11	18	17	94.4	5.9		
Male	6						
	7	11	11	100.0			
	8						
	11						
Female	6	11	11	100.0	18.2		
	7	11	11	100.0	18.2		
	8						
	11	11	10	90.9	10.0		
Black or African American	7						
American Indian or Alaska Native	11						
Asian	7						
	11						
Hispanic or Latino	6	12	12	100.0			
	7	13	13	100.0			
	8						
	11						
White	6						
	7						
	8						
	11						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	6	11	11	100.0	18.2		
	7	15	15	100.0	6.7		
	8						
	11	13	12	92.3			
English Learners	6						
	7						
	8						
	11						
Students with Disabilities	6						
	7						
	8						
	11						

		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students		
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	6					
	7					
	8					
Foster Youth	6					
	7					
	8					
	11					

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

#### **CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students**

C/ U 10/ 1 T COT TTCOURTS THE OCICITOC T	01 7 till 0 tulut	21140								
		Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School				District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)		71	48		71	48		56	54	

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	# of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students Proficient or Advanced	
All Students	32	31	96.9	48.4	
Male	15	15	100.0	46.7	
Female	17	16	94.1	50.0	
Hispanic or Latino	21	20	95.2	45.0	
White	11	11	100.0	54.6	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	19	18	94.7	44.4	

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### **Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2015-16)**

Geyserville New Tech Academy offers two CTE courses: Construction/Welding and Culinary.

#### Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2015-16)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	24
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	0

# Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2015-16 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	84.85
2014-15 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	26.3

# **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards						
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards				
7	19	38.1	28.6				
9	33.3	26.7	6.7				

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# C. Engagement

# **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

The district offers many opportunities for parent involvement. Parents are encourage to participate in one or more of the following groups: school Site Council, Boosters Club, Geyserville Educational Foundation, and DELAC (District English Learner Advisory Committee).

# **State Priority: Pupil Engagement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

#### **Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)**

la dia sa sa	School			District			State		
Indicator	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
<b>Dropout Rate</b>			0.00			0.00	11.40	11.50	10.70
<b>Graduation Rate</b>			100.00			100.00	80.44	80.95	82.27

#### Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2015 (One-Year Rate)

Current		Graduating Class of 2015	
Group	School	District	State
All Students	95	91	86
Black or African American	0	0	78
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	78
Asian	0	0	93
Filipino	0	0	93
Hispanic or Latino	100	100	83
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	85
White	100	89	91
Two or More Races	0	0	89
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100	100	66
English Learners	100	100	54
Students with Disabilities	93	94	78

# **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Data	School			District			State		
Rate	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	015-16 2013-14 2014-15	2015-16	
Suspensions	0.0	8.6	1.6	6.8	4.2	3.1	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

# School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

Geyserville New Tech Academy provides for all students an exceptionally positive and safe learning environment. Students take part in keeping the grounds litter free and participate in school clean-up days. The comprehensive school plan includes an evacuation and drill manual, which is reviewed annually by all staff. Inspections by the local fire chief takes place twice a year to address code compliance and assure that the facilities are safe for students, staff and others. Emergency kits have been supplied for each classroom and office area. Evacuation and fire drills are held at a minimum of one per quarter.

# **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District		
Program Improvement Status		Not In PI		
First Year of Program Improvement				
Year in Program Improvement*				
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	0		
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	.0		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

**Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)** 

		201	2013-14			2014-15			2015-16			
Grade	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
6					19	6			19	6		

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Average class size and class size bistribution (secondary)												
	2013-14				2014-15				2015-16			
Subject	Avg. Num		ber of Classrooms		Avg.	Avg. Number of C		ssrooms A	Avg. Numb		er of Classrooms	
ou sjeet	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English					10	12			12	12		
Mathematics					13	7			14	7		
Science					15	5	1		18	6		
Social Science					18	7			14	9		

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor		
Academic Counselor	0	0		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A		
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A		
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.375	N/A		
Psychologist	0	N/A		
Social Worker	0	N/A		
Nurse	0.09	N/A		
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0	N/A		
Resource Specialist	0	N/A		
Other	0	N/A		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

#### Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	\$9,136	\$292	\$8,844	\$60,529
District	N/A	N/A		\$60,326
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A		0.0
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,677	\$60,705
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	65.4	1.8

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

#### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Geyserville New Tech Academy uses project-based learning (PBL) approach. A strong focus on self-directed learning and learner outcomes promotes trust, respect and responsibility. Working on projects as teams makes students accountable to each other and reflects what they will experience in the work environment. Education and learning should be about empowering students to reach their goals and dreams. Students must have the opportunity to apply the knowledge and skills in familiar and unfamiliar ways to continue their learning and build their confidence. PBL embodies a culture of support and empowerment. By engaging, equipping and empowering students, they acquire the knowledge, skills and attributes to be successful in college, careers and life. The Common Core and other present-day standards emphasize real-world application of knowledge and skills, and the development of success skills such as critical thinking/problem solving, collaboration, communication in a variety of media, and speaking and presentation skills. PBL is an effective way to meet these goals. Geyserville New Tech Academy also offers a study skills early intervention program for Middle school students.

#### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,729	\$40,430
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$58,823	\$58,909
Highest Teacher Salary	\$72,273	\$77,358
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$77,912	\$94,634
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		\$97,839
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$79,713	\$100,453
Superintendent Salary	\$90,094	\$123,728
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	33%	32%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

# Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2015-16)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		N/A
English	1	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts		N/A
Foreign Language		N/A
Mathematics		N/A
Science		N/A
Social Science		N/A
All courses	1	.1

Cells with N/A values do not require data.

# **Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

The district has provided a wide range of professional development opportunities for teachers including 3 additional days before school to work on project based learning and the common core standards.

<sup>\*</sup>Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.