# Geyserville New Tech Academy <br> School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year <br> Published During 2016-17 

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.


## DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

## Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

| School Contact Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| School Name | Geyserville New Tech Academy |
| Street | 1300 Moody Ln. |
| City, State, Zip | Geyserville, Ca, 95441 |
| Phone Number | $707-857-3592$ |
| Principal | Deborah Bertolucci |
| E-mail Address | dbert@gusd.com |
| Web Site | www.gusd.com |
| CDS Code | 49707066103428 |

District Contact Information

| District Name | Geyserville Unified School District |
| :--- | :--- |
| Phone Number | (707) 857-3592 |
| Superintendent | Deborah Bertolucci |
| E-mail Address | dbert@gusd.com |
| Web Site | www.gusd.com |

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

Geyserville New Tech Academy's goal is to guide its students through a meaningful and challenging standards-based curriculum. As a result, each graduate will become a lifelong learner, capable of developing and planning a course of action; and have the perseverance and competency to succeed.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

| Grade <br> Level | Number of <br> Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Grade 6 | 15 |
| Grade 7 | 21 |
| Grade 8 | 11 |
| Grade 9 | 15 |
| Grade 10 | 15 |
| Grade 11 | 19 |
| Grade 12 | 17 |
| Total Enrollment | 18 |

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

| Student <br> Group | Percent of <br> Total Enrollment |
| :--- | :---: |
| Black or African American | 0.9 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 |
| Asian | 1.7 |
| Filipino | 0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 63.8 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0 |
| White | 33.6 |
| Two or More Races | 0 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 68.1 |
| English Learners | 22.4 |
| Students with Disabilities | 16.4 |
| Foster Youth | 0.9 |

## A. Conditions of Learning

## State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.


## Teacher Credentials

| Teachers | School |  |  | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014-15 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ |
| With Full Credential | 10 | 9 | 14 | 23 |
| Without Full Credential | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments * | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |



* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

| Location of Classes |  | Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers |  |
| This School | 79.0 | 21.1 |  |
| All Schools in District | 80.4 | 19.6 |  |
| High-Poverty Schools in District | 80.4 | 19.6 |  |
| Low-Poverty Schools in District | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program.
Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.
Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)
Year and month in which data were collected: September 2016

| Subject | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ <br> Year of Adoption | From <br> Most Recent <br> Adoption? | Percent of Students <br> Lacking Own <br> Assigned Copy |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Reading/Language Arts | McDougal Littel | No | 0 |
| Mathematics | 6-7 Prentice Hall, 8th-Core Connections 3 CPM, <br> Algebra I\&II Holt, Discovering Geometry Key <br> Curriculum Press, Pre-Calculus Houghton Mifflin | No | 0 |
| Science | 6-8 Holt, Integrated Science Pearson, Modern <br> Biology Holt, Conceptual Physics Prentice Hall, <br> Merrill Chemistry Glencoe | No | 0 |


| Subject | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ <br> Year of Adoption | From <br> Most Recent <br> Adoption? | Percent of Students <br> Lacking Own <br> Assigned Copy |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| History-Social Science | Glencoe | No | 0 |
| Foreign Language | Buen Viaje, Vista Learning | No | 0 |
| Health | Gelncoe Teen Health Course 1,2,3 | No | 0 |
| Visual and Performing Arts | NA |  |  |
| Science Laboratory Equipment <br> (grades 9-12) | Same as above |  |  |

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

All facilities are in good condition.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

| School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 01/31/17 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Inspected | Repair Status |  |  | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
|  | Good | Fair | Poor |  |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | X |  |  |  |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces |  | X |  | Carpet needs replaced in BVHS. 3 interior rooms had ceiling leaks. Will have the HVAC resealed to see if that prevents the leaks. |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation | X |  |  |  |
| Electrical: Electrical | X |  |  |  |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains | X |  |  |  |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | X |  |  |  |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs | X |  |  |  |
| External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences | X |  |  |  |

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

| Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 01/31/17 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall Rating | Exemplary | Good | Fair | Poor |
|  |  |  | X |  |

## B. Pupil Outcomes

## State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

| Subject | Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  | District |  | State |  |
|  | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| English Language Arts/Literacy | 30 | 22 | 40 | 37 | 44 | 48 |
| Mathematics | 11 | 9 | 22 | 27 | 34 | 36 |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

| Student Group | Grade | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Met or Exceeded |
| All Students | 6 | 17 | 17 | 100.0 | 29.4 |
|  | 7 | 22 | 22 | 100.0 | 22.7 |
|  | 8 | 12 | 12 | 100.0 | 8.3 |
|  | 11 | 18 | 17 | 94.4 | 23.5 |
| Male | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 7 | 11 | 11 | 100.0 | 9.1 |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Female | 6 | 11 | 11 | 100.0 | 36.4 |
|  | 7 | 11 | 11 | 100.0 | 36.4 |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | 11 | 10 | 90.9 | 40.0 |
| Black or African American | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Asian | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Hispanic or Latino | 6 | 12 | 12 | 100.0 | 8.3 |
|  | 7 | 13 | 13 | 100.0 | 15.4 |


| Student Group | Grade | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Met or Exceeded |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| White | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 6 | 11 | 11 | 100.0 | 18.2 |
|  | 7 | 15 | 15 | 100.0 | 26.7 |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | 13 | 12 | 92.3 | 25.0 |
| English Learners | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Students with Disabilities | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Foster Youth | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

| Student Group | Grade | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Met or Exceeded |
| All Students | 6 | 17 | 17 | 100.0 | 17.6 |
|  | 7 | 22 | 22 | 100.0 | 9.1 |
|  | 8 | 12 | 12 | 100.0 |  |
|  | 11 | 18 | 17 | 94.4 | 5.9 |
| Male | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 7 | 11 | 11 | 100.0 |  |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Female | 6 | 11 | 11 | 100.0 | 18.2 |
|  | 7 | 11 | 11 | 100.0 | 18.2 |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | 11 | 10 | 90.9 | 10.0 |
| Black or African American | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Asian | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Hispanic or Latino | 6 | 12 | 12 | 100.0 |  |
|  | 7 | 13 | 13 | 100.0 |  |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| White | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 6 | 11 | 11 | 100.0 | 18.2 |
|  | 7 | 15 | 15 | 100.0 | 6.7 |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | 13 | 12 | 92.3 |  |
| English Learners | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Students with Disabilities | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |


| Student Group | Grade | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Met or Exceeded |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Foster Youth | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

| Subject | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
|  | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| Science (grades 5, 8, and 10) |  | 71 | 48 |  | 71 | 48 |  | 56 | 54 |

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

| Student <br> Group | Total <br> Enrollment | \# of Students <br> with Valid Scores | \% of Students <br> with Valid Scores | \% of Students <br> Proficient or <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 32 | 31 | 96.9 | 48.4 |
| Male | 15 | 15 | 100.0 | 46.7 |
| Female | 17 | 16 | 94.1 | 50.0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 21 | 20 | 95.2 | 45.0 |
| White | 11 | 11 | 100.0 | 54.6 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 19 | 18 | 94.7 | 44.4 |

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2015-16)

Geyserville New Tech Academy offers two CTE courses: Construction/Welding and Culinary.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2015-16)

| Measure | CTE Program <br> Participation |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of pupils participating in CTE | 24 |
| $\%$ of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma | 0 |
| $\%$ of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education | 0 |

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

| UC/CSU Course Measure | Percent |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2015-16 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | 84.85 |
| 2014-15 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | 26.3 |

## State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

| Grade <br> Level | Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Four of Six Standards | Five of Six Standards | Six of Six Standards |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 19 | 38.1 | 28.6 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 33.3 | 26.7 | 6.7 |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. Engagement

## State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.


## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

The district offers many opportunities for parent involvement. Parents are encourage to participate in one or more of the following groups: school Site Council, Boosters Club, Geyserville Educational Foundation, and DELAC (District English Learner Advisory Committee).

## State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

| Indicator | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 |
| Dropout Rate |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 | 11.40 | 11.50 | 10.70 |
| Graduation Rate |  |  | 100.00 |  |  | 100.00 | 80.44 | 80.95 | 82.27 |

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2015 (One-Year Rate)

| Group | Graduating Class of 2015 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | District | State |
| All Students | 95 | 91 | 86 |
| Black or African American | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| Asian | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| Filipino | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 100 | 100 | 83 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| White | 100 | 89 | 91 |
| Two or More Races | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 100 | 100 | 66 |
| English Learners | 100 | 100 | 54 |
| Students with Disabilities | 93 | 94 | 78 |

## State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.


## Suspensions and Expulsions

| Rate | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| Suspensions | 0.0 | 8.6 | 1.6 | 6.8 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Expulsions | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

## School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

Geyserville New Tech Academy provides for all students an exceptionally positive and safe learning environment. Students take part in keeping the grounds litter free and participate in school clean-up days. The comprehensive school plan includes an evacuation and drill manual, which is reviewed annually by all staff. Inspections by the local fire chief takes place twice a year to address code compliance and assure that the facilities are safe for students, staff and others. Emergency kits have been supplied for each classroom and office area. Evacuation and fire drills are held at a minimum of one per quarter.

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.
Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

| Indicator | School | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Program Improvement Status |  | Not In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement |  |  |
| Year in Program Improvement* |  |  |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 0 |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | .0 |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.
Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

| Grade Level | 2013-14 |  |  |  | 2014-15 |  |  |  | 2015-16 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classes |  |  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classes |  |  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classes |  |  |
|  |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | 19 | 6 |  |  | 19 | 6 |  |  |

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

| Subject | 2013-14 |  |  |  | 2014-15 |  |  |  | 2015-16 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg. Class Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  | Avg. Class Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  |
|  |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |
| English |  |  |  |  | 10 | 12 |  |  | 12 | 12 |  |  |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  | 13 | 7 |  |  | 14 | 7 |  |  |
| Science |  |  |  |  | 15 | 5 | 1 |  | 18 | 6 |  |  |
| Social Science |  |  |  |  | 18 | 7 |  |  | 14 | 9 |  |  |

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

| Title | Number of FTE <br> Assigned to School | Average Number of Students per <br> Academic Counselor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Counselor | 0 | 0 |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) | 0.375 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Psychologist | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Social Worker | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Nurse | 0.09 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Resource Specialist | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Other | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

[^0]Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

| Level | Expenditures Per Pupil |  |  | Average <br> Teacher <br> Salary |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Supplemental/ <br> Restricted | Basic/ <br> Unrestricted | ( |
| School Site | $\$ 9,136$ | $\$ 292$ | $\$ 8,844$ | $\$ 60,529$ |
| District | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | $\$ 60,326$ |
| Percent Difference: School Site and District | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | 0.0 |
| State | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\$ 5,677$ | $\$ 60,705$ |
| Percent Difference: School Site and State | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 65.4 | 1.8 |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Geyserville New Tech Academy uses project-based learning (PBL) approach. A strong focus on self-directed learning and learner outcomes promotes trust, respect and responsibility. Working on projects as teams makes students accountable to each other and reflects what they will experience in the work environment. Education and learning should be about empowering students to reach their goals and dreams. Students must have the opportunity to apply the knowledge and skills in familiar and unfamiliar ways to continue their learning and build their confidence. PBL embodies a culture of support and empowerment. By engaging, equipping and empowering students, they acquire the knowledge, skills and attributes to be successful in college, careers and life. The Common Core and other present-day standards emphasize real-world application of knowledge and skills, and the development of success skills such as critical thinking/problem solving, collaboration, communication in a variety of media, and speaking and presentation skills. PBL is an effective way to meet these goals. Geyserville New Tech Academy also offers a study skills early intervention program for Middle school students.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

| Category | District Amount | State Average for Districts In Same Category |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | $\$ 42,729$ | $\$ 40,430$ |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | $\$ 58,823$ | $\$ 58,909$ |
| Highest Teacher Salary | $\$ 72,273$ | $\$ 77,358$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary) | $\$ 77,912$ | $\$ 94,634$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle) |  | $\$ 97,839$ |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | $\$ 79,713$ | $\$ 100,453$ |
| Superintendent Salary | $\$ 90,094$ | $\$ 123,728$ |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries | $33 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ |

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries \& Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2015-16)

| Subject | Number of AP Courses Offered* | Percent of Students In AP Courses |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Computer Science |  | N/A |
| English | 1 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Fine and Performing Arts |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Foreign Language |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Mathematics |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Science |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Social Science |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| All courses | 1 | .1 |

Cells with N/A values do not require data.
*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

## Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

The district has provided a wide range of professional development opportunities for teachers including 3 additional days before school to work on project based learning and the common core standards.


[^0]:    *One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

