### § 15497. Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template.

#### Introduction:

**LEA: Geyserville Unified School District** 

Contact (Name, Title, Email, Phone Number): Christina Menicucci, Manager of Business Services, <a href="mailto:cmenicucci@gusd.com">cmenicucci@gusd.com</a>, (707) 857-3592

LCAP Year:2014-15

# Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and annual update template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605.5, and 47606.5.

For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or annual update, may

be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs should comply with instructions and use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

### **State Priorities**

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

## A. Conditions of Learning:

**Basic**: degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

**Implementation of State Standards**: implementation of academic content and performance standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

**Course access:** pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

**Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only)**: coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

**Foster youth (for county offices of education only)**: coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

## B. Pupil Outcomes:

**Pupil achievement**: performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

**Other pupil outcomes**: pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

#### C. Engagement:

**Parent involvement**: efforts to seek parent input in decision making, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

**Pupil engagement**: school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

**School climate**: pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

#### **Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement**

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

**Instructions:** Describe the process used to engage parents, pupils, and the community and how this engagement contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2, and the related actions and expenditures are to be described in Section 3.

## **Guiding Questions:**

- 1) How have parents, community members, pupils, local bargaining units, and other stakeholders (e.g., LEA personnel, county child welfare agencies, county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, foster youth, foster parents, education rights holders and other foster youth stakeholders, English learner parents, community organizations representing English learners, and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process?

- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representative parents of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) In the annual update, how has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils related to the state priorities?

Involvement Process	Impact on LCAP
<ul> <li>January 8, 2014 – Board Meeting-LCAP discussed with Board Members, Administrators and Parents</li> </ul>	LCAP template and State Priorities presented to Board Members
<ul> <li>January 29, 2014-Group Leader Meeting</li> <li>Week of February 10, 2014-Surveys sent out to Middle and High</li> </ul>	LCAP template and State Priorities presented and discussed with Stakeholder Group Leaders which include representatives from Teachers Union, Classified Union,
School Parents and Students	Administrators, DELAC and Parents
February 26, 2014-Group Leader Meeting	Parent and Student surveys went out for Middle and High School. Results of parent and student surveys show that the school is safe, positive environment with highly and the state of the school is safe.
March 4, 2014-GES Site Council Meeting	qualified staff. Areas identified as needing improvement were more electives, increase technology and more variety of sports.
March 25, 2014- DELAC Meeting	Group Leaders discussed needs identified by Stakeholders. Needs indentified: Improve/Maintain
April 9, 2014-LCAP Draft presented at Board Meeting	Facilities, Increase access to Technology, Improve Student Achievement and Engagement and Increase Parent
<ul> <li>April 15, 2014-LCAP Draft posted to web page, <u>www.gusd.com</u>, for questions &amp; comments</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Involvement</li> <li>Parents, Staff and Principal met to identify Goals and</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>May 27, 2014-LCAP Final draft presented to DELAC for questions and comments</li> </ul>	Actions for Geyserville Elementary School. Identify the need to improve facilities and increase student achievement & engagement.
June 11, 2014- LCAP & Budget Public Hearing	DELAC Committee (which includes Parents & Staff)  diamage of Electroder to a large description of the control of the cont
June 25, 2014-LCAP & Budget Approved	discussed EL student goals and needs. They would like support classes for Middle and High School students in Math and English. Other goals indentified were a Spanish class offered for Elementary students and a covered walkway between the classrooms and Cafeteria.

Involvement Process	Impact on LCAP
	<ul> <li>DELAC had no questions at the May 27<sup>th</sup> meeting</li> <li>No Comments or Questions on LCAP at June 11<sup>th</sup> Public Hearing</li> </ul>

### **Section 2: Goals and Progress Indicators**

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, for **each** state priority and any local priorities and require the annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

Instructions: Describe annual goals and expected and actual progress toward meeting goals. This section must include specifics projected for the applicable term of the LCAP, and in each annual update year, a review of progress made in the past fiscal year based on an identified metric. Charter schools may adjust the chart below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33. The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative, although LEAs must, at minimum, use the specific metrics that statute explicitly references as required elements for measuring progress within a particular state priority area. Goals must address each of the state priorities and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities. The LEA may identify which school sites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or school site. The goals must reflect outcomes for all pupils and include specific goals for school sites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the school site level. To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, school site-level advisory groups (e.g., school site councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

#### **Guiding Questions:**

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Engagement" (e.g., pupil and parent)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual school sites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual school site goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for subgroups as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific predicted outcomes/metrics/noticeable changes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority and/or to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual school sites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11) In the annual update, what changes/progress have been realized and how do these compare to changes/progress predicted? What modifications are being made to the LCAP as a result of this comparison?

Identified Need and	Goals	Annual	What will be different/improved for students?	Related State
Metric		Update:	(based on identified metric)	and Local

(What needs have been identified and what metrics are used to measure progress?)	Description of Goal	Applicable Pupil Subgroups (Identify applicable subgroups (as defined in EC 52052) or indicate "all" for all pupils.)	School(s) Affected (Indicate "all" if the goal applies to all schools in the LEA, or alternatively, all high schools, for example.)	Analysis of Progress	LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	Priorities (Identify specific state priority. For districts and COEs, all priorities in statute must be included and identified; each goal may be linked to more than one priority if appropriate.)
Need: Students need a	Provide safe	All	All		Facilities in Good	Facilities in	Facilities in	1
school environment	and secure				Repair in all	Good Repair in	Good Repair in	
and facilities that are safe, secure and in	school environments				areas. All structural	all areas	all areas	
good repair	environments		Geyserville		damage			
good ropun	Maintain and		Elementary		repaired.			
Metrics: Parent surveys	Improve		,		(FIT Survey)			
and Facilities Inspection Tool determined:	facilities							
5 out of 15 rooms have	Repair Dry							
structural damage: Dry rot or leaking roofs.	Rot							
Playground ground	Improve							
cover does not meet	playground							
safety standards.	safety. Replace							
	ground cover.							
	Repair							
	leaking roof.							
District Suspension					Na inqua t-	No in our t-	No in our t-	
Rate: 2.5 Expulsion Rate: 0	Provide				No increase to suspension Rate	No increase to suspension	No increase to suspension Rate	
LAPUISION Nate. U	counseling				Suspension nate	Rate	Suspension Nate	
	services for				Maintain 0		Maintain 0	
	students.				expulsions	Maintain 0 expulsions	expulsions	
	Provide	Special						

		Goals				fferent/improved		Related State and Local
Identified Need and Metric (What needs have been identified and what metrics are used to measure progress?)	Description of Goal	Applicable Pupil Subgroups (Identify applicable subgroups (as defined in EC 52052) or indicate "all" for all pupils.)	School(s) Affected (Indicate "all" if the goal applies to all schools in the LEA, or alternatively, all high schools, for example.)	Annual Update: Analysis of Progress	LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	Priorities (Identify specific state priority. For districts and COEs, all priorities in statute must be included and identified; each goal may be linked to more than one priority if appropriate.)
	school Psychologist	education						
Need: Students and staff need to utilize technology in order to be proficient in 21 <sup>st</sup> century learning skills  Metrics: Tech Plan and Technology Surveys  Wifi availability: Geyserville Elementary-60% of campus Geyserville Middle & High School-60% of campus	Increase student and staff technology access and trainings to increase student proficiency. Increase student access to Wifi.	All	All		Increase access to technology by 15%  Provide Wifi access to 75-80% of campus	Increase access to technology by 10%  Provide Wifi access to 85-90% of campus	Increase access to technology by 5%  Provide Wifi access to 90-95% of campus	1,2,5,6
Need: Student need to be proficient in Common Core Standards in order to be successful in school	Increase student scoring proficient and above on CCSS/SBAC	All  ELL, Socio- Economic Disadvantage,	All		Increase student scoring proficient and above on CCSS/SBAC benchmark ELA	Benchmark + 2%	Benchmark + 4%	4,5,2,7

		Goals				fferent/improved on identified m		Related State and Local
Identified Need and Metric (What needs have been identified and what metrics are used to measure progress?)	Description of Goal	Applicable Pupil Subgroups (Identify applicable subgroups (as defined in EC 52052) or indicate "all" for all pupils.)	School(s) Affected (Indicate "all" if the goal applies to all schools in the LEA, or alternatively, all high schools, for example.)	Annual Update: Analysis of Progress	LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	Priorities (Identify specific state priority. For districts and COEs, all priorities in statute must be included and identified; each goal may be linked to more than one priority if appropriate.)
Metrics: CCSS and	benchmark	Special			and Math			albi: ab., a.e.)
SBAC 2012-13 CST Scores	ELA and Math	Education			Benchmark			
English Language Arts:								
2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade: Advanced: 4%								
Proficient: 19% Basic: 54%								
Below Basic:19% Far Below Basic: 4%								
3rd Grade: Advanced: 7% Proficient: 14%								
Basic: 50% Below Basic:29% Far Below Basic: 0%								
4th Grade: Advanced: 30%								
Proficient: 45% Basic: 10%								
Below Basic:10% Far Below Basic: 5%								

		Goals				fferent/improved d on identified m		Related State and Local
Identified Need and Metric (What needs have been identified and what metrics are used to measure progress?)	Description of Goal	Applicable Pupil Subgroups (Identify applicable subgroups (as defined in EC 52052) or indicate "all" for all pupils.)	School(s) Affected (Indicate "all" if the goal applies to all schools in the LEA, or alternatively, all high schools, for example.)	Annual Update: Analysis of Progress	LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	Priorities (Identify specific state priority. For districts and COEs, all priorities in statute must be included and identified; each goal may be linked to more than one priority if appropriate.)
5th Grade: Advanced: 20% Proficient: 20% Basic: 47% Below Basic: 13% Far Below Basic: 0%								
6th Grade: Advanced: 20% Proficient: 40% Basic: 33% Below Basic:7% Far Below Basic: 0%								
7th Grade: Advanced: 23% Proficient: 55% Basic: 14% Below Basic: 9% Far Below Basic: 0%								
8th Grade: Advanced: 33% Proficient: 53% Basic: 13%								

		Goals				fferent/improved		Related State and Local
Identified Need and Metric (What needs have been identified and what metrics are used to measure progress?)	Metric Subgroups (Identify applicable subgroups (as defined in EC space))  Metric  Subgroups (Identify applicable subgroups (as defined in EC space))  Subgroups (Identify applicable subgroups (as defined in EC space))  The subgroups (as defined in EC space)  Affected (Indicate "all" the goal applied to all schools in the LEA, or alternatively, as alternatively, as alternatively, as alternatively.	Affected (Indicate "all" if the goal applies to all schools in the LEA, or alternatively, all high schools, for	Annual Update: Analysis of Progress	LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	Priorities (Identify specific state priority. For districts and COEs, all priorities in statute must be included and identified; each goal may be linked to more than one priority if appropriate.)	
Below Basic: 0%								арргория
Far Below Basic: 0%								
9th Grade:								
Advanced: 33%								
Proficient: 33%								
Basic: 33%								
Below Basic: 0%								
Far Below Basic: 0%								
10th Grade:								
Advanced:37%								
Proficient: 26%								
Basic: 26%								
Below Basic:5%								
Far Below Basic: 5%								
11 <sup>th</sup> Grade: Less than								
10 students tested %								
not available to protect								
student privacy								
2012-13 CST Scores								
Mathematics:								
and a								
2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade:				Ì				

		Goals				fferent/improved d on identified m		Related State and Local
Identified Need and Metric (What needs have been identified and what metrics are used to measure progress?)	Description of Goal	Applicable Pupil Subgroups (Identify applicable subgroups (as defined in EC 52052) or indicate "all" for all pupils.)	School(s) Affected (Indicate "all" if the goal applies to all schools in the LEA, or alternatively, all high schools, for example.)	Annual Update: Analysis of Progress	LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	Priorities (Identify specific state priority. For districts and COEs, all priorities in statute must be included and identified; each goal may be linked to more than one priority if appropriate.)
Advanced:15% Proficient: 35% Basic: 23% Below Basic:27% Far Below Basic: 0% 3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade: Advanced:23% Proficient: 23% Basic: 38% Below Basic:15% Far Below Basic: 0%  4 <sup>th</sup> Grade: Advanced:50% Proficient: 25% Basic: 10% Below Basic:15% Far Below Basic: 0%  5 <sup>th</sup> Grade: Advanced:7% Proficient: 27% Basic: 40% Below Basic:20% Far Below Basic: 7%								

		Goals				fferent/improved		Related State and Local
Identified Need and Metric (What needs have been identified and what metrics are used to measure progress?)	Description of Goal	Applicable Pupil Subgroups (Identify applicable subgroups (as defined in EC 52052) or indicate "all" for all pupils.)	School(s) Affected (Indicate "all" if the goal applies to all schools in the LEA, or alternatively, all high schools, for example.)	Annual Update: Analysis of Progress	LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	Priorities (Identify specific state priority. For districts and COEs, all priorities in statute must be included and identified; each goal may be linked to more than one priority if appropriate.)
6 <sup>th</sup> Grade:								
Advanced:21% Proficient: 29%								
Basic: 50%								
Below Basic:0%								
Far Below Basic: 0%								
7 <sup>th</sup> Grade:								
Advanced:32%								
Proficient: 32%								
Basic: 14%								
Below Basic:18%								
Far Below Basic: 5%								
8 <sup>th</sup> Grade: Less than 10								
students tested in								
General Math and					CELDT: Increase			
Algebra % not available					proficiency by			
to protect student					10%			
privacy								
9 <sup>th</sup> Grade Algebra:							CELDT: Increase	
9 Grade Algebra: Advanced: 0%							proficiency by 8%	
Proficient: 0%					Increase		070	
Basic: 50%					reclassification	CELDT: Increase		
Below Basic:50%					by 2%	proficiency by		

		Goals				fferent/improved d on identified m		Related State and Local
Identified Need and Metric (What needs have been identified and what metrics are used to measure progress?)	Metric at needs have been entified and what etrics are used to easure progress?)  Description of Goal  Description of Goal  Description of Goal  Affected (Indicate "all the goal applicable subgroups (as defined in EC 52052) or indicate "all" high schools,	School(s) Affected (Indicate "all" if the goal applies to all schools in the LEA, or alternatively, all high schools, for example.)	Annual Update: Analysis of Progress	LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	Priorities (Identify specific state priority. For districts and COEs, all priorities in statute must be included and identified; each goal may be linked to more than one priority if appropriate.)	
Far Below Basic: 0%						10%		
I					Maintain		Increase	
					Dropout rate at		reclassification	
CELDT Kindergarten:					0		by 2%	
Beginning 57%								
Early Intermediate 14%					Maintain teacher	Increase	Maintain	
Intermediate 29%					misassignments	reclassification	Dropout rate at	
					at 0.	by 2%	0	
EL Reclassification							Maintain	
Rate:						Maintain	teacher	
12.7%					30% of students	Dropout rate at	misassignments	
					will meet the A-	0	at 0.	
Dropout Rate: 0					G requirements	Maintain		
						teacher	40% of students	
						misassignments	will meet the A-	
					Increase the	at 0.	G requirements	
Teacher					number of			
Misassignments: 0					students who	35% of		
					pass the AP	students will	Increase the	
2012-13- 4 out of 15					exam by 5%	meet the A-G	number of	
students met the A-G						requirements	students who	
Requirements					Purchase CCSS		pass the AP	
					Instructional	Increase the	exam by 5%	
Students that earned a					materials for	number of		
3 or higher on AP:					Grades K-5	students who		
2 of 5	1				Math & ELA	pass the AP		

		Goals				fferent/improved d on identified m		Related State and Local
Identified Need and Metric (What needs have been identified and what metrics are used to measure progress?)	Description of Goal	Applicable Pupil Subgroups (Identify applicable subgroups (as defined in EC 52052) or indicate "all" for all pupils.)	School(s) Affected (Indicate "all" if the goal applies to all schools in the LEA, or alternatively, all high schools, for example.)	Annual Update: Analysis of Progress	LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	Priorities (Identify specific state priority. For districts and COEs, all priorities in statute must be included and identified; each goal may be linked to more than one priority if appropriate.)
Number of CCSS						exam by 5%	Purchase CCSS	, ,
Instructional Materials						Purchase CCSS	Instructional	
-Currently the teachers						Instructional	Materials for	
at GES are using IXL						materials for	Science K-12	
online for math.						Grades 6-12		
Looking to purchase						Math & ELA		
new materials in the					Maintain a High			
future.					School	Maintain a High	Maintain a High	
ratarer					Graduation of	School	School	
					90% of higher	Graduation of	Graduation of	
High school graduation					3070 Of Higher	90% of higher	90% of higher	
rate 94%						3070 Of Higher	3070 Of Higher	
Tate 5470					EAP: NA	EAP:NA	EAP:NA	
Early Assessment								
Program (EAP): NA								
Need: Student need to	Increase	All	All					5,6,7,8
feel Engaged in their	student							
learning	engagement				Decrease	Decrease	Decrease	
					Truancy Rate by	Truancy Rate	Truancy Rate by	
Metric: 2012-13					5	by 3	2	
Chronic Absenteeism								
also know as Truancy								
Rate								
GES Truancy Rate-32.58						Maintain	Maintain	
GMS Truancy Rate-9.09						Attendance	Attendance	

		Goals				fferent/improve d on identified m		Related State and Local
Identified Need and Metric (What needs have been identified and what metrics are used to measure progress?)	Description of Goal	Applicable Pupil Subgroups (Identify applicable subgroups (as defined in EC 52052) or indicate "all" for all pupils.)	School(s) Affected (Indicate "all" if the goal applies to all schools in the LEA, or alternatively, all high schools, for example.)	Annual Update: Analysis of Progress	LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	Priorities (Identify specific state priority. For districts and COEs, all priorities in statute must be included and identified; each goal may be linked to more than one priority if appropriate.)
GHS Truancy Rate-						Rate at or	Rate at or above	п арриоринаст,
11.11						above 95%	95%	
BVHS Truancy Rate-						Continue Art,	Continue Art,	
62.5					Maintain	Music and	Music and	
District Total-22.26					Attendance Rate	Computer Skills Classes at GES	Computer Skills Classes at GES	
Annual Attendance					at or above 95%	Classes at GES	Classes at GES	
Rate 2012-13:					Continue Art,	Add a	Add a	
95.72%					Music and	Restaurant	Restaurant	
33.7.273					Computer Skills	Management	Management	
					Classes at GES	Course at	Course at	
Course Access to Visual						Geyserville	Geyserville High	
and Performing Arts,					Add a Restaurant	High School	School which	
Applied Arts, Career					Management	which	incorporates	
Technical Education,					Course at	incorporates	CTE and	
Health and PE is					Geyserville High	CTE and	Culinary Arts	
available to all students					School which	Culinary Arts	(2 year	
(No CTE available for					incorporates CTE	(2 year	program)	
Elementary)					and Culinary Arts	program)		
CTE: 3 Courses					(2 year program)			
Physical Fitness Test 2012 Results: % of student in the					PFT: 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade- maintain HFZ in all 6 areas of	PFT: 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade- maintain HFZ in all 6 areas of	PFT: 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade- maintain HFZ in all 6 areas of	

		Goals				fferent/improved d on identified m		Related State and Local
Identified Need and Metric (What needs have been identified and what metrics are used to measure progress?)	Description of Goal	Applicable Pupil Subgroups (Identify applicable subgroups (as defined in EC 52052) or indicate "all" for all pupils.)	School(s) Affected (Indicate "all" if the goal applies to all schools in the LEA, or alternatively, all high schools, for example.)	Annual Update: Analysis of Progress	LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	Priorities (Identify specific state priority. For districts and COEs, all priorities in statute must be included and identified; each goal may be linked to more than one priority if appropriate.)
Health Fitness Zone (HFZ) 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade Aerobic Capacity-92.9 Body Composition-92.9 Abdominal Strength-100 Trunk Extension-85.7 Upper Body Strength-92.9 Flexibility-78.6  7 <sup>th</sup> Grade - Aerobic Capacity-42.1 Body Composition-94.7 Trunk Extension-94.7 Trunk Extension-94.7 Upper Body Strength-68.4 Flexibility-63.2  9 <sup>th</sup> Grade Aerobic Capacity-14.3 Body Composition-78.6 Abdominal Strength-92.9 Trunk Extension-71.4 Upper Body Strength-92.9 Flexibility-50					7 <sup>th</sup> Grade-increase HFZ in Aerobic Capacity to 50%  9 <sup>th</sup> Grade-increase HFZ in Aerobic Capacity to 50%	7 <sup>th</sup> Grade-4 out of 6 areas HFZ above 70% 9 <sup>th</sup> Grade-4 out of 6 areas HFZ above 70%	75% or greater  7 <sup>th</sup> Grade-5 out of 6 areas HFZ above 70%  9 <sup>th</sup> Grade-5 out of 6 areas HFZ above 70%	

		Goals				fferent/improve d on identified m		Related State and Local Priorities (Identify specific state priority. For districts and COEs, all priorities in statute must be included and identified; each goal may be linked to more than one priority if appropriate.)
Identified Need and Metric (What needs have been identified and what metrics are used to measure progress?)	Description of Goal	Applicable Pupil Subgroups (Identify applicable subgroups (as defined in EC 52052) or indicate "all" for all pupils.)	School(s) Affected (Indicate "all" if the goal applies to all schools in the LEA, or alternatively, all high schools, for example.)	Annual Update: Analysis of Progress	LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	
Interscholastic Sports Students and Parents like that the district has a "No Cut" Policy -2013-14 Sports were funded solely by the Boosters					District will pay stipends for athletic coaches			
Need: Parent needs to feel Involved in their student's education. Only 38% of families returned their surveys. Metric: Parent Surveys	Increase Parent Involvement	All	All		Increase Parent Involvement by 10%	Increase Parent Involvement by 8%	Increase Parent Involvement by 5%	3,4,5
Need: Streamline identification of Special Education student's needs and progress  Metric: The district is in the process of switching from a old computer system and many paper documents to the new electronic system called SEIS	Use SEIS to help streamline Special Education identification and progress	Special Education	District Wide		Benchmark	Improve assessment tools to streamline identification process by 2%	Improve assessment tools to streamline identification process by 2%	1,2,4,7,8

#### Section 3: Actions, Services, and Expenditures

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require the LCAP to include a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the goals identified. Additionally Education Code section 52604 requires a listing and description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions.

**Instructions**: Identify annual actions to be performed to meet the goals described in Section 2, and describe expenditures to implement each action, and where these expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve identified goals. The actions and expenditures must reflect details within a goal for the specific subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, and for specific school sites as applicable. In describing the actions and expenditures that will serve low-income, English learner, and/or foster youth pupils as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, the LEA must identify whether supplemental and concentration funds are used in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner. In the annual update, the LEA must describe any changes to actions as a result of a review of progress. The LEA must reference all fund sources used to support actions and services. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

### **Guiding Questions:**

- 1) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific school sites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 2) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and performance indicators?
- 3) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?
- 4) In the annual update, how have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 5) In the annual update, how have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 6) In the annual update, how have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific school sites and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?

7) In the annual update, what changes in actions, services, and expenditures have been made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? What annual actions, and the LEA may include any services that support these actions, are to be performed to meet the goals described in Section 2 for ALL pupils and the goals specifically for subgroups of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052 but not listed in Table 3B below (e.g., Ethnic subgroups and pupils with disabilities)? List and describe expenditures for each fiscal year implementing these actions, including where these expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget.

Goal (Include and identify all goals from	Related State and Local Priorities	e Actions and Services	Level of Service (Indicate if	Annual Update: Review of actions/ services	What actions are performed or services provided in each year (and are projected to be provided in years 2 and 3)? What are the anticipated expenditures for each action (including funding source)?			
Section 2)	(from Section 2)		LEA-wide)		LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	
Improve School Facilities	1,6	General Maintenance & Operation repairs, supplies and services	District Wide		\$180,000 GF	Maintain Facilities	Maintain Facilities	
Provide safe		Consider the latest CEC	School-		Hire consultant to	LOFF	LOFF	
and secure		Grade playfield at GES.	Geyserville		evaluate need.	LCFF	LCFF	
school environments		Install new ground cover to meet safety requirements.	Elementary		Bond Fund 21, LCFF \$200,000	\$10,000	\$6,000	
Maintain and		Repair leaking roof for rooms			' '	Install Solar	Maintain	
Improve		11-16.				Panels	Facilities	
facilities					Hire architect.			
		Remove Dry Rot.			Resurface black	Prop 39	LCFF \$10,000	
Repair Dry					top.	\$150,000		
Rot		Install covered walk-way to			Prop 39 \$50,000			
		Cafeteria.	Geyserville		Bond \$100,000			
Improve			New Tech					
playground safety.		Repair black top.	Academy					
Replace ground cover.		Install Solar Panels.						
Repair								
leaking roof.		Yard Duty & Campus Supervision costs			\$16,000 Fund 01	\$17,000 Fund 01	\$18,000 Fund 01	

Goal (Include and identify all goals from	Related State and Local Priorities	Actions and Services	Level of Service (Indicate if school-wide or	Annual Update: Review of actions/ services	What actions are performed or services provided in each year (and are projected to be provided in years 2 and 3)? What are the anticipated expenditures for each action (including funding source)?			
Section 2)	(from Section 2)		LEA-wide)		LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	
Provide counseling services for students.  Provide school Psychologist		Provide counseling services for students.  Provide school Psychologist			\$18,000 Fund 01 \$8,000 Fund 01 Resource 6500	\$18,000 Fund 01 \$8,000 Fund 01 Resource 6500	\$18,000 Fund 01 \$8,000 Fund 01 Resource 6500	
Improve/ Increase Technology Increase student and staff technology access and trainings to increase student proficiency. Increase student access to Wifi.	1,2,5,6	Increase internet band-width Increase Wire-less access Purchase 1 to 1 computers	District wide  Geyserville New Tech Academy		LCFF \$10,000 Fund 40 \$25,000 Fund 40 \$60,000	Replace damaged or lost computers LCFF \$5,000	Replace damaged or lost computers LCFF \$5,000	
Increase student	4,5,2,7	Purchase Curriculum aligned to Common Core Standards	District Wide		Common Core, LCFF	LCFF	LCFF	

Goal (Include and identify all goals from	Related State and Local Priorities	Actions and Services	Level of Service (Indicate if	Annual Update: Review of actions/ services	What actions are performed or services provided in each year (and are projected to be provided in years 2 and 3)? What are the anticipated expenditures for each action (including funding source)?			
Section 2)	(from Section 2)		LEA-wide)		LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	
achievement Increase		Provide Staff Development for Common Core			\$10,000	\$50,000	\$25,000	
student scoring		Highly Qualified Staff			\$2,483,921 GF Property Taxes	\$2,508,760 GF Property Taxes	\$2,533,848 GF Property Taxes	
proficient and above on CCSS/SBAC benchmark		Elementary Reading Specialist FTE	Geyserville Elementary		\$22,000 Title I	\$22,000 Title I	\$22,000 Title I	
ELA and Math		Add Math support class for Middle and High School students  Provide Intervention services	Geyserville Middle & High School		\$30,000 Supplemental & Concentration Grant	\$30,000 Supplemental & Concentration Grant	\$30,000 Supplemental & Concentration Grant	
		to students with disabilities and students not performing at standards			\$10,000 Fund 01	\$10,000 Fund 01	\$10,000 Fund 01	
Increase Student Engagement	5,6,7,8	Purchase new curriculum  Improve facilities	District wide		LCFF \$10,000 Bond \$200,000	LCFF \$50,000	LCFF \$25,000	
Linguagement		Increase student access to technology			Fund 40 & LCFF \$150,000			
		CTE Courses			\$15,000 Fund 01	\$17,000 Fund 01	\$18,000 Fund 01	
		Sports						

identify all goals from	Related State and Local Priorities	Actions and Services	Level of Service (Indicate if	e Review of actions/	What actions are performed or services provided in each year (and are projected to be provided in years 2 and 3)? What are the anticipated expenditures for each action (including funding source)?		
	(from Section 2)		LEA-wide)		LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17
					\$22,000 Fund 01	\$25,000 Fund 01	\$25,000 Fund 01
Increase Parent Involvement	3,4,5	Parent Information Night before school starts -Information on New Tech Academy -Information on TK program Parent Site Visits at least one per year	District wide		\$5,000		
Use SEIS to help streamline Special Education identification and progress	1,2,4,7,8	Special Education Students	District Wide		Purchase new assessment tools \$500-\$1,000 GF	Update assessment tools \$500-\$1,000 GF	Update assessment tools \$500-\$1,000 GF

Identify additional annual actions, and the LEA may include any services that support these actions, above what is provided for all pupils that will serve low-income, English learner, and/or foster youth pupils as defined in Education Code section 42238.01 and pupils redesignated as fluent English proficient. The identified actions must include, but are not limited to, those actions that are to be performed to meet the targeted goals described in Section 2 for low-income pupils, English learners, foster youth and/or pupils redesignated as fluent English proficient (e.g., not listed in Table 3A above). List and describe expenditures for each fiscal year implementing these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget.

Goal (Include and identify all goals from Section 2, if	Related State and Local Priorities (from	Actions and Services	Level of Service (Indicate if school- wide or LEA-wide)	Annual Update: Review of actions/ services	What actions are performed or services provided in each year (and are projected to be provided in years 2 and 3)? What are the anticipated expenditures for each action (including funding source)?			
applicable)	Section 2)				LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	
Increase student access to Technology	2,4,5,6,7,8	For low income pupils:  Provide additional time after school for student WiFi access	Geyserville New Tech Academy		Supplemental & Concentration Grant \$10,000	Supplemental & Concentration Grant \$10,000	Supplemental & Concentration Grant \$10,000	
		Increase Elementary Reading Specialist FTE	Geyserville Elementary		\$10,727 Supplemental & Concentration Grant	\$11,000 Supplemental & Concentration Grant	\$13,000 Supplemental & Concentration Grant	
		Instructional Aides at GES (3)			\$23,504 Supplemental & Concentration Grant	\$25,000 Supplemental & Concentration Grant	\$27,000 Supplemental & Concentration Grant	

Goal (Include and identify all goals from Section 2, if	Related State and Local Priorities (from	Actions and Services	Level of Service (Indicate if school- wide or LEA-wide)	Annual Update: Review of actions/ services	What actions are performed or services provided in each year (and are projected to be provided in years 2 and 3)? What are the anticipated expenditures for each action (including funding source)?			
applicable)	Section 2)				LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	
		Add Math support class for Middle and High School students	Geyserville Middle & High School		\$30,000 Supplemental & Concentration Grant	\$32,000 Supplemental & Concentration Grant	\$34,000 Supplemental & Concentration Grant	
Increase Student Achievement	2,4	For English learners: Provide an English Support class for Middle and High School students  Provide support for CAHSEE testing	Geyserville New Tech Academy		LCFF- Supplemental & Concentration Grant \$31,510 for teacher \$10,000 for curriculum & instructional materials	LCFF- Supplemental & Concentration Grant \$32,000 for teacher \$10,000 for curriculum & instructional materials	LCFF- Supplemental & Concentration Grant \$34,000 for teacher \$10,000 for curriculum & instructional materials	
N/A		For foster youth:						

Describe the LEA's increase in funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5). Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496. For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of

unduplicated pupils at a school site in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a districtwide or schoolwide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

Geyserville Unified School District has a population of 40% of English Language Learners, 65% Low-Income students and 70% Unduplicated Count. Supplemental and Concentration grant funding of \$145,741 for 2014-15. These funds will be used for the following on a districtwide basis:

1 English Language Support Class (Literacy) for Middle School Students and 1 English Language Support Class (Literacy) for High School Students-\$31,510

Math Support Class for Middle and High School Students-\$30,000

Increase time for Elementary Reading Specialist-\$10,727

Instructional Materials for CAHSEE prep, Common Core implementation and After school Internet access -\$50,000

Increase Instructional Aides at Geyserville Elementary \$23,504

A. Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). Identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

Our minimum proportionality percentage is 10.22%. These funds will be used for the following:

1 English Language Support Class (Literacy) for Middle School Students and 1 English Language Support Class (Literacy) for High School Students-\$31,510

Math Support Class for Middle and High School Students-\$30,000

Increase time for Elementary Reading Specialist-\$10,727

Instructional Materials for CAHSEE prep, Common Core implementation and After school Internet access-\$50,000

Increase Instructional Aides at Geyserville Elementary \$23,504

2012-13 EIA expenditures spent on unduplicated students \$84,630. Total LCFF Base Grant entitlement \$1,426,079. Total 2014-15 budgeted unduplicated students services \$145,741. An increase for services to unduplicated students of 10.22%.

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference: Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5, 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section 6312.